

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Services - Sri J. Satyanarayana, formerly C.S.R.I., Elamanchili and now Deputy Mandal Revenue Officer (Retd), O/o Mandal Revenue Office, V. Madugula, Visakhapatnam District – Allegation of responsibility for deficit of stocks in the ESCOM Godown - Disciplinary action – Punishment of 5% (five percentage) cut in pension for a period of two years besides recovery of Rs.43,500/-, being the amount for shortage of ESCOM stock – Orders – Issued.

REVENUE (VIGILANCE-VI) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms.No. 496

Dt.4-5-2009
Read the following:-

1. Proceedings of the Dist. Collector, Visakhapatnam, Rc.No.2499/91/A2, dt. 25-2-2002.
2. From the CCLA, Lr.No.VS.III(2)/1/08, dt.12-6-2008.
3. Govt.Memo.No.30776/Vig.VI(2)/08-1,dt.17-10-2008.
4. From Sri J. Satyanarayan, D.T. (Retd), Repn. dt.12-1-2009.
5. Govt.Lr.No.30776/Vig.VI(2)/08-2, dt.3-3-2009.
6. From the Secy., APPSC, Lr.No.343/RT.1/09, dt.9-4-2009.

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, the District Collector, Visakhapatnam has issued orders awarding the punishment of stoppage of two annual grade increments with cumulative effect besides recovery of Rs.43,500/-, half of the cost of the stocks found as deficit during the physical verification of stocks on 21-9-1987 & 22-9-1987, against Sri J. Satyanarayana, formerly C.S.R.I., Elamanchili and now Deputy Mandal Revenue Officer (Retd), V. Madugula, Visakhapatnam District (as Sri N. Rajanna, the then Deputy Tahsildar (CS) is also responsible for the deficit of stocks along with the Accused Officer), on the charge of shortage of 296-56-066 Kgs of rice in the ESCOM Mandal Stocklist Point.

2. Aggrieved by the above orders, the Accused Officer has preferred an appeal before the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad and also filed O.A.No.6071/2002 on the file of Hon'ble Tribunal with a prayer to set aside the orders of the District Collector, Visakhapatnam dated 25-2-2002. The Hon'ble Tribunal while disposing the O.A. filed by the individual, has observed that the appeal dated 24-4-2002 filed by the applicant before the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration is pending for final orders, that the recovery can be affected at any point of time if the applicant fails in his appeal, and if the applicant succeeds, the question of recovery does not arise and directed the respondents not to recover the amount from the salary of the applicant until appeal is disposed off by the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration. Finally, the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration has disposed off the appeal observing that the Charged Officer has failed to bring to the notice of the superior officers though he found there is shortage of stocks and he has also failed to take charge of the stocks by weighing the stocks physically from the ESCOM Deputy Tahsildar and accordingly, the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration has confirmed the above orders of the Collector vide Chief Commissioner of Land Administration's Ref.No.VS.I(1)/790/2002, dated 17-2-2003.

3. Assailing the orders of the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, the Accused Officer has preferred another O.A.No.7344/2003 on the file of Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal and the Hon'ble Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal in its order dt.11-12-06 in O.A.No.7344/03 set aside the orders passed

(P.T.O)

::2::

by the District Collector, Visakhapatnam/Chief Commissioner of Land Administration and remitted back the case to the District Collector, Visakhapatnam for supply of Enquiry Officer's report to the Charged Officer and passing an appropriate order. Accordingly, a copy of Enquiry Officer's report dt.11-10-2001 was supplied to the individual on 9-10-2007. As the individual retired from service on 31.8.2006 the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad forwarded the proposals to the Government for taking necessary further action, under Rule-9 of Andhra Pradesh Revised Pension Rules, 1980, in the reference 2nd read above.

4. Government have examined the matter and a provisional decision has been taken to impose the punishment of 5% cut in pension for a period of two years besides recovery of an amount of Rs.43,500/-, being the loss caused to the Civil Supplies Corporation, on the Accused Officer. Accordingly, a show-cause notice has been issued to the Accused Officer, in the reference 3rd read above. Government, after examination of the explanation of the Accused Officer, have decided to confirm the provisional decision, as the explanation is not convincing.

5. The Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission who were consulted in the matter have also agreed with the proposals of the Government to impose the punishment of 5% cut in pension for a period of two years besides recovery of an amount of Rs.43,500/-, being the loss caused to the Civil Supplies Corporation, on the Accused Officer.

6. Government after careful examination of the matter, hereby impose the punishment of 5% cut in pension for a period of two years besides recovery of an amount of Rs.43,500/-, being the loss caused to the Civil Supplies Corporation, on the Accused Officer.

7. The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad shall take necessary further action in the matter accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

G.SUDHIR
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

The Special Chief Secretary & Chief Commissioner of
Land Administration, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

Copy to:

The individual through Chief Commissioner of
Land Administration, Hyderabad.

The District Collector, Visakhapatnam.

The Accountant General, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

The District Treasury Officer, Visakhapatnam.

The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission,
Hyderabad.

Sf/Sc.

//FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER